

ILLEGAL BRITS JUMP IN? TSIMPEDES "VICTORY IS AT HAND" AND THE ARMENIAN SUCCESS

For the past two months, Atty. Athan Tsimpedes has been appearing weekly on a Florida television program hosted by station owner Angelos Angelatos where he's been promoting his "class action lawsuits" filed in United States District Court For The District of Columbia, namely Case 1 : 09-cv-01967, *MICHALI TOUMAZOU et al v. REPUBLIC OF TURKEY and TRNC* AND Case 1 : 10-cv-01225, *TELEMACHOS FLOURIS et al v. THE TURKISH CYPRIOT COMMUNITY dba "TURKISH REPUBLIC OF NORTHERN CYPRUS" aka "TRNC", HSBC HOLDINGS, PLC and HSBC BANK USA , N.A.*

On 16 February 2010, Atty. Tsimpedes on his own accord withdrew Turkey from the *Toumazou* lawsuit and amended it by adding HSBC Bank alongside "TRNC" as codefendants. This case is now recorded as TOUMAZOU et al v. "TURKISH REPUBLIC OF NORTHERN CYPRUS."

During the same two-month period he has continued an on-air smear campaign against H.R. 2597, the American-Owned Property in Occupied Cyprus Claims Act, calling the bill dangerous and perverted.

The following is an excerpted transcript of some of Atty. Tsimpedes' statements during an on-air dialogue with Fanoulla Argyrou on 15 September 2011 :

Now for tactical reasons I removed Turkey so I wouldn't have to deal with immunity which the Bill (H.R. 2597) is seeking to give. I'm exposing to it and I'm winning because of it. And if you allow this case to proceed instead of supporting this perverted bill of Pallone you'll see that victory is at hand in the United States...People who have faith in me, who've joined my lawsuit understand what I'm doing. I have not forgotten about Turkey. Just allow me to do my job...I removed Turkey, I'm not gonna discuss my tactics while I'm on here. This is not the right place to do it. But by removing Turkey I removed the issue of immunity right now because Turkey would have kept me fighting, not responding and simply dealing with immunity never getting to the substance.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=coVID8U9XA0

Atty. Tsimpedes, in his relentless efforts to falsely build confidence within his current clientele as well as to persuade prospective clients to join his lawsuits may have violated the American Bar Association's Model Rules of Professional Conduct, specifically Rule 1.4(a)(3) and Rule 1.4(b):

***Client-Lawyer Relationship
Rule 1.4 Communication***

(a) A lawyer shall :

(3) keep the client reasonably informed about the status of the matter;

(b) A lawyer shall explain a matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit the client to make informed decisions regarding the representation.

http://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_rules_of_professional_conduct/rule_1_4_communications.html

and

http://www.law.cornell.edu/ethics/aba/current/ABA_CODE.HTM

As previously noted, on 15 September 2011, referring to his aforementioned cases filed in Federal Court, Atty. Tsimpedes stated on air :

Now for tactical reasons I removed Turkey so I wouldn't have to deal with immunity which the Bill (H.R. 2597) is seeking to give. I'm exposing to it and I'm winning because of it. And if you allow this case to proceed instead of supporting this perverted bill of (United States Representative) Pallone you'll see that victory is at hand in the United States ...

Atty. Tsimpedes is representing to his clients as well as to the general public that “victory is at hand.” Court documents paint a different picture. The *Toumazou* case 1 : 09-cv-01967 was filed on October 19, 2009. The *Fiouris* case 1 : 10-cv-01225 was filed on July 20, 2010. Both cases are related. In both cases, aside from HSBC Bank, the only other defendants are **THE TURKISH CYPRIOT COMMUNITY and/or dba “TURKISH REPUBLIC OF NORTHERN CYPRUS” aka “TRNC.”** Again, Atty. Tsimpedes on his own accord withdrew the Republic of Turkey from the *Toumazou* lawsuit on 16 February 2010. The Republic of Turkey has never been a defendant in the *Fiouris* case.

In neither case has Atty. Tsimpedes been able to even successfully serve THE TURKISH CYPRIOT COMMUNITY nor the “TURKISH REPUBLIC OF NORTHERN CYPRUS” nor “TRNC.” These same defendants have not even responded to his Complaints. Yet he proclaims “victory is at hand.” In neither case has jurisdiction been established. Yet Atty. Tsimpedes proclaims “victory is at hand.”

Atty. Tsimpedes seeks jurisdiction for both cases in Washington , DC. He claims there's a commercial enterprise based in Washington , DC called “TRNC” which is conducting illegal activity in cooperation with the illegal regime in Turkish-occupied Cyprus.

The “TRNC” being sued in both cases is the same “TRNC” with a dual identity, namely “TRNC” as a Washington, DC-based business entity acting on behalf of the pseudo-state and “TRNC” the pseudo-state in the occupied territory, both being treated by Atty. Tsimpedes as one and the same.

A written inquiry was recently made to the Washington , DC Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs (DCRA). DCRA is the department which regulates business activity in the District of Columbia . The subject of the inquiry was whether there are any entities registered in the District of Columbia with the following names : THE TURKISH CYPRIOT COMMUNITY, “TURKISH REPUBLIC OF NORTHERN CYPRUS ,” or “TRNC.” DCRA replied the following in writing :

...please be advised after extensive search for the names below – search for Trade Name registration and for Corporation these entities were not on record.

Yet Atty. Tsimpedes proclaims “victory is at hand.”

Atty. Tsimpedes claims that for tactical reasons he removed Turkey from the *Toumazou* case. He added on-air on 15 September 2011 :

People who have faith in me, who’ve joined my lawsuit understand what I’m doing. I have not forgotten about Turkey. Just allow me to do my job...I removed Turkey , I’m not gonna discuss my tactics while I’m on here. This is not the right place to do it. But by removing Turkey I removed the issue of immunity right now because Turkey would have kept me fighting, not responding and simply dealing with immunity never getting to the substance.

In February 2011, Atty. Tsimpedes had visited Cyprus to promote his lawsuits. At that time an organization based in London, England called “*Lobby for Cyprus*” posted an “*Update on the US mass action lawsuit against Turkey, TRNK and HSBC*” in the Greek-British publication ELEFTHERIA in its February 24, 2011 issue on page 15. Below is an excerpt:

Between 19-26 February Mr Tsimpedes will be appearing at events in Cyprus organised by the Municipality of Karavas, Ayios Amvrosios community in Limassol and Nicosia and Adouloti Kerynia, along with the support of many others.

For strategic reasons Turkey was removed from the class action application as a defendant, however, before Mr Tsimpedes flies out to Cyprus he will be adding Turkey once again as a defendant in the lawsuit.

<http://www.eleftheria.co.uk/currentissue/ELEFTHERIA24022011.pdf>

Atty. Tsimpedes flew in and out of Cyprus. Turkey has yet to be added as a defendant on either lawsuit.

After Cyprus, Atty. Tsimpedes made a stop in London, England for additional promotions. On 28 February 2011 Atty. Tsimpedes, while in London, distributed a Q & A pamphlet titled “*US CLASS ACTION EXPLAINED : Here is what you need to*

know." One of the questions on the pamphlet was "*Why is Turkey not listed as a Defendant?*"

The following answer to the above question was provided in the pamphlet :

Turkey is ultimately responsible for the "TRNC", as found in the ECHR (eg Cyprus v Turkey ; Loizidou). The removal of Turkey at the initial stages was strategic. This also avoids issues of sovereign state immunity and is why Mr. Tsimpedes has obtained court documents showing the "TRNC" to be a business and not a country. Turkey is now being re-added to the claim.

But later in June 2011 it was revealed that two days before Atty. Tsimpedes arrived in Cyprus he had made an unusual request.

As stated earlier, Defendants THE TURKISH CYPRIOT COMMUNITY and/or dba "TURKISH REPUBLIC OF NORTHERN CYPRUS" aka "TRNC" have not even responded to Atty. Tsimpedes' Complaints. In addition, in June 2011 defendant "TRNC" filed a Motion for a Status Conference asking the Court to dismiss both the *Toumazou* and *Fiouris* cases. The Motion for a Status Conference was granted and the conference is scheduled for 26 October 2011 after numerous postponements (Status Conference update at bottom). In the Motion, the Defendant notes :

*Nothing more was heard from Plaintiffs until approximately three month later when, on **February 17, 2011**, Plaintiffs' Counsel, Mr. Tsimpedes, addressed an e-mail to TRNC's counsel stating :*

*We reconsidered the new complaint and rather than continue with the existing case no. 1225 [Fiouris], **will you consent to an Amendment to the Complaint in case 1967 [Toumazou] to allow additional plaintiffs and defendant Turkey** . The only additional allegation will relate to Turkey as a defendant. We will in turn dismiss case no. 1225 [Fiouris] without prejudice. Please respond by noon tomorrow.*

Atty. Tsimpedes kindly requested from "TRNC" counsel if they would consent to the Plaintiffs adding Turkey back to the *Toumazou* case. This is the action Atty. Tsimpedes describes to his clients as tactical and strategic.

Either way, "TRNC" counsel replied that same day, 17 February 2011:

Regarding the addition of the Republic of Turkey, it is logistically impossible for us to have a sufficient conversation by noon tomorrow with the requisite persons regarding the decision of Turkey, an unnamed party, to be added to the complaint.

Yet Atty. Tsimpedes publicized a week later that February in his promotional pamphlet: *“The removal of Turkey at the initial stages was strategic...Turkey is now being re-added to the claim.”* Turkey has yet to be added to either complaint.

In an earlier visit to Cyprus to promote his lawsuits in 2010, Atty. Tsimpedes had approached Greek/Cypriot lawyer Loukis Loucaides. Mr. Loucaides is a former Deputy Attorney General of the Republic of Cyprus as well as former Judge of European Court of Human Rights (ECHR). Mr. Loucaides was approached to act as an agent of Atty. Tsimpedes in Cyprus but he withdrew his involvement upon determining that Atty. Tsimpedes’ knowledge and expertise of the Cyprus issue and of International Law on Human Rights to be seriously lacking.

In a recent “Dear Plaintiff“ letter to his clients as well as on-air, Atty. Tsimpedes claimed that he had fired Mr. Loucaides as his agent. Upon being informed of Atty. Tsimpedes’ false claim, Mr. Loucaides prepared a statement.

The following is an excerpt in order to help clear-up some of Atty. Tsimpedes' misrepresentations:

...I also told him that he somehow commercialized his legal proceedings and allowed persons, such as Mr. Mavrides, who is not a lawyer to go around and support his case in public, through articles in the newspapers and otherwise, misleading the people by telling them that the European Court of Human Rights will not give them any remedy in any case and that this Court did not state that people have to go to the Commission in the Turkish part of Cyprus. He was intentionally avoiding adding that the Court said that if people wanted to go to the Court, they have first to go to the Turkish Commission and if they are not satisfied, they could go to the Court. I also told him that the rumor that is being spread and it seems to be adopted by him that I had an interest to see that people go to the Turkish Commission is an absolute lie because I never showed any interest my-self to take any case of my clients to this Commission. On the contrary, I told them that I could not possibly myself bring any case to that Commission because this is against my feelings and if they are interested they should apply through another lawyer. I then told him that I did not want to have anything to do with either his proceedings or himself and I (not him) terminated our co-operation which started because a person, whom I respect, has introduced him to me...In view of the above, I confidently say that it is my firm opinion that having said the serious lies that I explained above, Mr. Tsimpedes cannot be trusted as a man of integrity.

-Loukis G. Loucaides

To set the record straight, in the *Leiden Journal of International Law*, volume 24 (2011), pp. 435–465, Mr. Loukis Loucaides offers his critique of the Immoveable Property

Commission (IPC) and the outcome of the *Demopoulos* case in the ECHR, the first international legal criticism of that judgment.

http://journals.cambridge.org/repo_A82d2q6k

As per ECHR procedure, exhaustion of domestic remedies is required as a condition for access to the Court. The Court also found that remedies in the occupied part of Cyprus may be regarded as domestic remedies of Turkey. This means that the occupied territory is regarded by the Court as a subordinate administration of Turkey and the IPC as Turkey's instrument.

Every time Atty. Tsimpedes references the IPC condemning any type of remedy there, he intentionally neglects to inform his audience that the ECHR requires the exhaustion of all local remedies, in this case remedy through the IPC, as a prerequisite for seeking recourse in the European Court of Human Rights.

While Mr. Loucaides questions Atty. Tsimpedes' integrity, the Washington, DC attorney is firing shots of his own. In his smear campaign against H.R. 2597, the American-Owned Property in Occupied Cyprus Claims Act, Atty. Tsimpedes writes in the aforementioned "Dear Plaintiff" letter: "*Lastly, to my knowledge there is not one reputable US attorney who disagrees with my opposition to the Pallone Bill.*"

H.R. 2597 currently has six Cosponsors in addition to Sponsor Rep. Frank Pallone (NJ). The six Cosponsors are Rep. Gus M. Bilirakis (FL), Rep. Michael G. Grimm (NY), Rep. Carolyn B. Maloney (NY), Rep. Thaddeus G. McCotter (MI), Rep. Steven R. Rothman (NJ) and Rep. John P. Sarbanes (MD). Five of the six Cosponsors as well as the Sponsor of H.R. 2597 Frank Pallone are attorneys, elected by their constituents to serve in the United States House of Representatives. This does not include the tens of other Senators and Representatives, attorneys by profession, who have in the past supported identical bills.

Yet Atty. Tsimpedes does not consider any of them reputable.

When it comes to Atty. Tsimpedes' integrity, perhaps Mr. Loucaides has a point. Recently on-air Atty. Tsimpedes claimed that he has amassed 1,037 clients in his cases. However, the names of all of the 900-plus additional clients have not been made public. Upon thorough investigation, CANA has discovered that Atty. Tsimpedes, prior to filing the *Fiouris* lawsuit, had approached a group of British nationals going by the name of AGA Buyers Action Group. These are British citizens who illegally purchased properties in the occupied areas in violation of Cyprus law while ignoring the following warnings by the British Embassy in Nicosia :

On 20 October 2006, an amendment to the Republic of Cyprus criminal code relating to property came into effect. Under the amendment, buying, selling, renting, promoting or mortgaging a property without the permission of the owner (the person whose ownership is registered with the Republic of Cyprus Land Registry, including Greek Cypriots displaced from northern Cyprus in 1974) is a criminal offence. The maximum prison sentence is 7 years. The amendment to the law also states that any attempt to undertake such a transaction is a criminal offence and could result in a prison sentence of up to 5 years. This law is not retrospective, so will not criminalise transactions that took place before 20 October 2006. Furthermore, documents relating to the purchase of property in the north of Cyprus will be presumed by the Cypriot authorities to relate to the illegal transfer of Greek Cypriot property and may be subject to confiscation when crossing the Green Line. Anyone found in possession of these documents may be asked to make a statement to the Cypriot authorities and could face criminal proceedings under the 20 October amendment. Any enquiries regarding the scope of this law should be made to the Republic of Cyprus High Commission in London or to the Ministry of Foreign affairs for the Republic of Cyprus.

<http://ukincyprus.fco.gov.uk/en/help-for-british-nationals/living-in-cyprus/buying-property-cyprus/buying-property-in-north-cyprus>

AGA Development built a real estate development project on stolen land in occupied Kyrenia. The company was run by Briton Gary Robb, a convicted felon. False title to the properties was sold to British nationals who eventually realized that the paper they were holding was worthless, wiping them out of hundreds of thousands of dollars each.

A Retainer Agreement has been drawn up between the British buyers and Atty. Tsimpedes stating, *inter alia*, the following :

I, _____, hereinafter referred to as the Client, agrees the TSIMPEDES LAW FIRM (hereinafter "FIRM"), shall represent the Client in connection with recouping monies paid towards a fraudulent property scheme by the TRNC, Republic of Turkey and other parties to be filed in the Washington, DC USA under the following conditions :

[1] SCOPE OF REPRESENTATION

The FIRM shall represent the Client only for the purposes mentioned above including filing suit and litigation at the trial court level only. This representation does not include any appeals that may be required. However, if an appeal is requested by the Client, The Tsimpedes Law Firm will appeal the case for the amount of \$2,500 excluding the costs of transcripts or court fees necessary that the Client agrees to pay from the gross recovery. Client agrees this matter may be filed as a class action or joined with others in a lawsuit who have similar claims (as defrauded buyers of TRNC property) and shall fully cooperate with the FIRM at all times.

[2] COMPENSATION

Under this contingent fee agreement, the Client shall pay the FIRM compensation as follows:

1. An administrative fee (non expense) in the amount of **THREE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$300.00)**; The low fee contemplates that hundreds will participate to make this lawsuit viable to pursue. Should there **not** be a substantial number joining in this lawsuit that makes it

impractical to file the lawsuit, the Tsimpedes Law Firm will either refund your \$300.00 or allow you other legal options to pursue at your discretion.

The Client agrees and understand that he/she is participating in a lawsuit with other AGA Buyer Group members or other defrauded buyers of Property in the TRNC who have been fraudulently induced by the TRNC of their money and will share in the costs and expenses on a pro rata basis. This also requires Client to share evidence and discovery with each other in such cases only. Unless indicated herein, The Firm will not disclose any information to third parties (other than buyers of TRNC property who have filed lawsuits by the Tsimpedes Law Firm) unless the client provides permission or has provided such information in the public domain...

Client agrees and understands this he/she does not seek title to property in the north of Cyprus but only the return of the monies paid or other compensation allowed by law. The representation provided by the Tsimpedes Law Firm is for compensation only.

<http://agabuyersactiongroup.wordpress.com/2010/01/20/abag-v-turkeytrncnorth-cyprus-lawsuit-retainer-agreement/>

Atty. Tsimpedes is placing his British clients, the people who knowingly bought stolen land and knowingly violated Cyprus law, on the same platform with his Greek/Cypriot clients, victims of the illegal Turkish invasion and occupation who were forced out of those same properties, unbeknownst to the latter.

Yet Atty. Tsimpedes claims that he is filing these cases for Hellenism.

On 15 December 2010, a lawsuit was filed in United States District Court for the Central District of California by descendants of the Armenian Genocide victims against Turkey, the Central Bank of Turkey, and Turkish Ziraat Bank (Case 2 : 10-cv-09596-DMG - SS Alex Bakalian et al v. Republic of Turkey et al). The lawsuit, a first-of-its-kind in the United States , accuses the defendants of stealing and then profiting from land that was illegally seized during the Armenian Genocide, when the Ottoman Turks drove Armenians from the Adana region of southern Turkey . The property at issue in the lawsuit is currently part of a strategic U.S. airbase in southern Turkey .

Using the same commercial activity exception statute within the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act (FSIA) as Atty. Tsimpedes is claiming on his lawsuits, the Armenian-Americans managed to successfully serve the Republic of Turkey a mere six months after filing their lawsuit :

Service of Summons and Complaint Returned Executed

Full docket text for document 43 :

LETTER from the Vice Consul of the Embassy of the United States of America in Ankara, Turkey dated 6/20/2011 re foreign service executed upon the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Turkey delivered on 6/20/2011.

(2 : 10-cv-09596-DMG -SS Alex Bakalian et al v. Republic of Turkey et al)

It's been two years since Atty. Tsimpedes has filed the *Toumazou* case and one year and a half since he filed the *Fiouris* case. Even without Turkey as a defendant, Atty. Tsimpedes still has not successfully served Defendants THE TURKISH CYPRIOT COMMUNITY nor the "TURKISH REPUBLIC OF NORTHERN CYPRUS" nor "TRNC." How were the Armenian-Americans able to successfully serve so fast and to serve the Republic of Turkey no less, an entity which is admittedly off-limits to Atty. Tsimpedes for now as he renders it immune?

All the Armenian-Americans had to do was follow the FSIA to the letter :

Service via Diplomatic Channels : 28 U.S.C. 1608(a)(4) provides for service of a summons, complaint and notice of suit by way of the diplomatic channel if service could not be made by mail in accordance with Section 1608(a)(3) within 30 days. The Department of State will not accept a request for service under Section 1608(a)(4) if the other methods for service in Section 1608(a) have not been exhausted, if the documents are incomplete, or if requisite translations are not provided. The summons, complaint and notice of suit must be submitted to the Office of Policy Review & Interagency Liaison, Overseas Citizens Services, 2100 Pennsylvania Ave., NW 4FL, Washington , DC 20520 in duplicate. Requesting courts or plaintiff's counsel should establish in writing to the Department that service has been attempted pursuant to 1608(a)(1), (2) and (3). Notification of such attempted service in the form of a letter to the Director, Office of Policy Review and Interagency Liaison will suffice. If service is attempted pursuant to Section 1608(a)(2), by applicable international convention, and service is denied by a foreign central authority for the convention, a copy of the denial should be furnished. As explained above, if service under Section 1608(a)(3) is inapplicable due to the foreign state's formal objection upon accession to the Hague Service Convention, this fact should also be noted.

http://travel.state.gov/law/judicial/judicial_693.html

After exhausting a specific sequence of attempts for service, the United States Department of State, in accordance with the FSIA, allows for a plaintiff to serve a foreign sovereign state by way of a diplomatic channel, as long as certain conditions have been met. The Armenian-Americans caught Turkey off-guard thinking it was immune to U.S. lawsuits as its U.S. lawyers ended up scrambling last-minute to file a response to the Armenian-Americans' Complaint.

Yet Atty. Tsimpedes insists : *"I removed Turkey , I'm not gonna discuss my tactics while I'm on here. This is not the right place to do it. But by removing Turkey I removed the issue of immunity right now because Turkey would have kept me fighting, not responding and simply dealing with immunity never getting to the substance...Just allow me to do my job"*

Turkey is responsible for the continued invasion and occupation of half of the Republic of Cyprus. The illegal regime in the occupied areas is a subordinate administration of Turkey as determined by International Courts.

Turkey illegally invaded Cyprus militarily in July and August 1974 and for 37 years continues to occupy half of the Republic of Cyprus, a member state of the United Nations, the European Union and the Council of Europe. As a result, Turkish forces uprooted 200,000 Greek Cypriots from their ancestral homes and made them refugees in their own country. Almost 6,000 Greek Cypriots were murdered, 1,619 are still missing, more than 1,000 Greek Cypriot women were brutally raped by the Turks and about 550 Christian churches, cemeteries and monasteries were looted, vandalized and desecrated.

In a secret report dated 1976, the Council of Europe found Turkey guilty of violating seven articles of the European Convention on Human Rights. A copy of that secret report was secured by the *The Sunday Times* in London which, to the fury of the Turkish Government, published on 23 January 1977 an "Insight Exclusive" titled "*Turk atrocities: What secret report reveals.*" A first page massive indictment of the Ankara government for the murders, rapes and looting by the Turkish army in Cyprus during and after the 1974 Turkish invasion. (Full details in the book "*Bloody Truth*" published by *The Movement for Freedom and Justice in Cyprus* www.freedomjustice.com.cy - the book can be downloaded for free). The Council of Europe 1976 decision can be found in the following link:

482 Cyprus v. Turkey
Applications 6780/74 and 6950/75
Before the European Commission of Human Rights
Eur Comm HR
10 July 1976
<http://www.uniset.ca/microstates/24EHRR482.htm>

In November 1983 Ankara and its puppet regime in the occupied area of Cyprus unilaterally declared the so called "*Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus.*" Immediately the United Nations Security Council with Resolution 541 of 1983 deplored the declaration of the Turkish Cypriot authorities for the purported secession from the Republic of Cyprus, considered the declaration as legally invalid and called for its withdrawal. The U.N. Security Council also called upon all States to respect the sovereignty, independence and territorial integrity of the Republic of Cyprus.

Furthermore, a second resolution followed on 11 May 1984, Resolution 550. Resolution 550 of 1984 reaffirmed previous Resolution 541 of 1983 and called for its urgent and effective implementation. The new resolution condemned all secessionist actions, reiterated the call upon all States not to recognize the purported state of the "*Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus*" set up by secessionist acts and called upon them not to facilitate or in any way assist the aforementioned secessionist entity.

To this day, 200,000 Greek Cypriot refugees, the rightful owners of their land and properties, are deprived by Ankara, the Turkish army and its subordinate administration in the occupied part of Cyprus from returning and reclaiming their stolen properties.

UPDATE:

October 26, 2011 Status Conference update:

Case 1 : 09-cv-01967 TOUMAZOU et al v. "TURKISH REPUBLIC OF NORTHERN CYPRUS"

Full docket text :

MINUTE ORDER : On October 26, 2011, the Court held a status conference in this case and in the related Civil Action No. 10-1225. For the reasons stated in open court, it is hereby ordered that plaintiffs shall file their reply to the opposition [31] of defendant, the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus , to plaintiffs' motion for leave to file a third amended complaint by November 2, 2011. It is further ordered that the HSBC defendants shall file their dispositive motion by November 15, 2011; plaintiffs shall file their opposition to that motion by December 15, 2011; and the HSBC defendants shall file their reply by January 9, 2012. Signed by Judge Paul L. Friedman on October 26, 2011. (MA)

Case 1 : 10-cv-01225, TELEMACHOS FIOURIS et al v. THE TURKISH CYPRIOT COMMUNITY dba "TURKISH REPUBLIC OF NORTHERN CYPRUS" aka "TRNC", HSBC HOLDINGS, PLC and HSBC BANK USA , N.A.

Full docket text :

MINUTE ORDER : On October 26, 2011, the Court held a status conference in this case and in the related Civil Action No. 09-1967. For the reasons stated in open court, it is hereby ordered that Civil Action No. 10-1225 is stayed in its entirety pending further Order of this Court. Signed by Judge Paul L. Friedman on October 26, 2011. (MA)

#####

=====
Cyprus Action Network of America (CANA)
2578 Broadway #132
New York, NY 10025
New York: Tel. 917-699-9935 9935 Email: cana@cyprusactionnetwork.org
www.cyprusactionnetwork.org
=====

The Cyprus Action Network of America (CANA) is a grass-roots, not-for-profit movement created to support genuine self-determination and human rights for the people of Cyprus.

To be added to CANA's Action Alert e-mail distribution list, or to introduce CANA to a friend or colleague, please forward the pertinent name and e-mail address, with the subject heading "Add e-mail to CANA distribution list", to cana@cyprusactionnetwork.org

You are encouraged to forward this action alert to five or more individuals who may have an interest in our e-distributions or in CANA's mission.

You may post any CANA article, press release or action alert on the internet as long as you credit CANA and the author(s)